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#### Abstract

We study the germs of curves in a rational surface singularity ( $S, P$ ) from the point of view of proximity, classifying them up to a notion of equisingularity. We introduce the concept of cluster of infinitely near points and we use it to generalize the Hoskin-Deligne formula, and to give an algorithm to describe a minimal system of generators of a complete ideal in the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{S, P}$.(C) 1997 Elsevier Science B.V.
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## 0. Introduction

In order to classify the irreducible plane curve singularities, several invariants have been introduced such as characteristic pairs, multiplicity sequence, value semigroup, etc. In fact, a geometric approach, based on the idea of proximity, was already developed by Enriques in [8] (1915). Recently, this notion of proximity has been applied in [4, 15,3]. In this paper, we study the germs of curves embedded in a rational surface singularity from the point of view of proximity.

We classify the germs of reduced curves in a rational surface singularity ( $S, P$ ) up to a notion of equisingularity which generalizes the equisingularity of germs of plane curves. The equisingularity class of such a germ of curve $C$ in $(S, P)$ consists of the weighted dual graph of the minimal embedded desingularization of $C$ in $(S, P)$, together with some weighted arrows corresponding to the branches of $C$. We express this combinatorial object in terms of some invariants of the singularity ( $S, P$ ) and the

[^0]curve $C$, namely, the proximity matrix (Definition 1.4), the intersection matrix in terms of total transforms (1.12) and the orders of $C$ (Definition 1.6). After discussing these invariants in Section 1, we prove that the equisingularity class of $C$ in $(S, P)$ determines the equiresolution class of $C$ (Theorem 2.10). We give an example to show that the converse is not true.

The idea of studing families of Cartier and Weil divisors on $(S, P)$ going through a finite set of points infinitely near $P$ with assigned orders is developed in Section 3. We introduce the notion of cluster with origin at $P$ and generalize the geometric theory of Enriques to rational surface singularities. When we deal with families of Cartier divisors, this allows us to identify the m-primary complete ideals of the local ring $\mathscr{O}_{S, P}$ with some specific clusters: the Cartier clusters. Using this characterization, we generalize to rational surface singularities the formula given by Hoskin and Deligne $[10,6]$. This formula computes the minimal number of generators $\mu(I)$ of any mprimary complete ideal $I$. In particular, we observe that, as it happens in the nonsingular case, $\mu(I)$ only depends on the orders at the origin of the cluster associated to $I$. Finally, as another application of the notion of cluster, we give an algorithm to describe a minimal system of generators of $I$, generalizing to rational surface singularities the procedure given by Casas [5].

## 1. Constellations of points infinitely near the point $P$ of the rational surface singularity ( $S, P$ )

In this section, after recalling the basic properties of rational surface singularities that will be used further, we introduce some definitions and notations and prove some preliminary results. Throughout this paper, a surface singularity is a pair ( $S, P$ ) consisting of the spectrum $S=\operatorname{Spec} R$ of a noetherian normal complete two-dimensional local ring $R$ containing an algebraically closed field $\mathbf{k}$ isomorphic to its residue field, and the closed point $P$ of $S$.
1.1. Recall that a surface singularity $(S, P)$ is said to be a rational surface singularity if there exists a desingularization $p: X \rightarrow S$ such that the stalk at $P$ of $R^{1} p_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}$ is zero. Moreover, one can prove that any desingularization $p: X \rightarrow S$ of $(S, P)$ is a product of blowing ups centered at closed points, and the stalk at $P$ of $R^{1} p_{*} \Theta_{X}$ is zero. In particular, if $P$ is nonsingular, then $(S, P)$ is a rational surface singularity.

The following properties hold for a rational surface singularity ( $S, P$ ):
(a) For any Weil divisor $C$ on $(S, P)$ there exists an integer $r$ such that $r C$ is a Cartier divisor on ( $S, P$ ).
(b) Let $p: X \rightarrow S$ be a desingularization of $(S, P)$ and let $\left\{E_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ be the irreducible components of the exceptional locus of $p$. If $D$ is a divisor on $X$ with $D . E_{i}=0$ for all $i$, then there exists an element $h$ in the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{S, P}$ such that $(h)^{*}=D$, where $(h)^{*}$ is the total transform on $X$ of the divisor given by $h$ on $(S, P)$.

A proof of those results may be found in [1,2,14].
1.2. Definition. Let $(S, P)$ be a rational surface singularity. The closed points in the exceptional locus of the blowing up $\pi_{1}$ of $P$ are called points in the first infinitesimal neighbourhood of $P$. For $i>1$, we define inductively the points in the ith infinitesimal neighbourhood of $P$ to be the closed points in the $(i-1)$ th infinitesimal neighbourhood of some point in the first infinitesimal neighbourhood of $P$. The points in some infinitesimal neighbourhood of $P$ are called points infinitely near $P$ (see [8]).

A constellation $\mathscr{C}$ of points infinitely near $P$ (or constellation with origin at $P$ ) is a finite set of points infinitely near $P$ containing $P$ and every point preceding a point in $\mathscr{C}$, i.e. if $Q \in \mathscr{C}$ and $Q$ is infinitely near a closed point $R$, then $R \in \mathscr{C}$.
1.3. We may label the points in $\mathscr{C}$, say $\mathscr{C}=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}\right\}$, in such a way that $P_{1}=P$ and if $P_{j}$ is infinitely near $P_{i}$ then $j>i$. In this way, we get a sequence of point blowing ups

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathscr{C}}=S_{m} \xrightarrow{\pi_{m}} S_{m-1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{m-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\pi_{2}} S_{1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{1}} S_{0}=S, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi_{i}$ is the blowing up with center $P_{i}$ and $\pi_{\mathscr{C}}=\pi_{1} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{m}$. We also denote $\pi$ for $\pi_{\mathscr{C}}$ when no confusion is likely. Observe that the isomorphism class of the surface $S_{\mathscr{C}}$ over $S$ does not depend on the choice of the labelling of $\mathscr{C}$ with the previous property. Throughout this paper, we will consider constellations $\mathscr{C}$ such that $\pi_{\mathscr{C}}$ is a desingularization of ( $S, P$ ). It follows from 1.1 that constellations of points infinitely near $P$ and desingularizations of $(S, P)$ are equivalent data. The constellation $\mathscr{C}_{m}$ such that $\pi_{\mathscr{C}_{m}}$ is the minimal desingularization of $(S, P)$ is called the minimal constellation for ( $S, P$ ).

In the above situation, we denote by $E_{i 1}^{i}, \ldots, E_{i s_{i}}^{i}$ the irreducible components of the exceptional locus of $\pi_{i}$ (the upper $i$ means that they are divisors on $S_{i}$ ), $E_{i k}^{i}$ may not be a Cartier divisor on $S_{i}$ but it is a Weil divisor. For $j>i$, let $E_{i k}^{j}$ (resp. $E_{i k}^{* j}$ ) be the strict transform (resp. the total transform in the sense of Mumford [16]) of $E_{i k}^{i}$ in $S_{j}$ and, for simplicity, denote $E_{i k}$ for $E_{i k}^{m}$ and $E_{i k}^{*}$ for $E_{i k}^{* m}$. Therefore, $E_{i k}$ is an irreducible component of the exceptional locus of $\pi$ and $E_{i k}^{*}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor on $S_{\mathscr{C}}$. We call $\Delta_{\mathscr{C}}$, or simply $\Delta=\left\{(i, k) / 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq k<s_{i}\right\}$, the set of indices of the irreducible components of the exceptional locus of $\pi$.

Observe that the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $N^{1}\left(S_{\mathscr{G}} / S\right)=\left(\operatorname{Pic}\left(S_{\mathscr{G}}\right) / \equiv\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ (where $\operatorname{Pic}\left(S_{\mathscr{G}}\right)$ denotes the Picard group of $S_{\mathscr{C}}$ and $\equiv$ is the numerical equivalence relation $D \equiv 0$ if $D . E_{\gamma}=0$ for any exceptional curve $E_{\gamma}$ in $S_{\mathscr{C}}$ ) is $\mathbf{E}_{\mathscr{C}}:=\oplus_{\gamma \in \Delta} \mathbb{Q} E_{\gamma}=\oplus_{\gamma \in \Delta} \mathbb{Q} E_{\gamma}^{*}$. This follows immediately from the fact that the intersection matrix $\left(E_{\alpha} \cdot E_{\beta}\right)_{\alpha, \beta \in A}$ is negative definite.

We can consider total orders in the set of indices $\Delta$ compatible with the labelling in $\mathscr{C}$ in the sense that $(i, k)<\left(i^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)$ whenever $i<i^{\prime}$, for any $k, k^{\prime}$. These total orders will be called enumerations of $\Delta$.
1.4. Definition. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a constellation with origin at the point $P$ of the rational surface singularity ( $S, P$ ) and let $\omega$ be an enumeration of the set of indices $\Delta$ of the irreducible components of the exceptional locus of $\pi_{\mathscr{G}}$. We define the proximity matrix of $\mathscr{C}$ with respect to $\omega$ to be the matrix $M_{\mathscr{6} \omega}$ of the change of basis from $\left\{E_{\gamma}^{*}\right\}_{\gamma}$ to $\left\{E_{\gamma}\right\}_{\gamma}$. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\varphi_{m} m} \underline{E}^{*}=\underline{E} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where by $\underline{E}$ and $\underline{E}^{*}$ we denote the column vectors consisting of the $E_{\gamma}$ 's and $E_{\gamma}^{*}$ 's ordered by $\omega$. We denote $M$ for $M_{\mathscr{6} \omega}$ when no confusion is likely.
1.5. Remark. If $(S, P)$ is nonsingular, the above matrix has been introduced by Du Val [7]. In this case, each point in $\mathscr{C}$ gives rise to a unique irreducible component $E_{i}$ of the exceptional locus of $\pi_{\mathscr{C}}$, that is, the cardinal of $\Delta$ is equal to the number of points in $\mathscr{C}$. Fixed a labelling on the points in $\mathscr{C}$, say $\mathscr{C}=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}\right\}$, we have $E_{i}=E_{i}^{*}-\sum p_{i j} E_{j}^{*}$ where $p_{i j}=1$ if $i<j$ and $P_{j} \in E_{i}^{j}$, and $p_{i j}=$ 0 otherwise. Following Enriques terminology, the relation $P_{j} \rightarrow P_{i}$ if $P_{j} \in E_{i}^{j}$ is called proximity relation. If we denote by $\operatorname{Pr}$ the upper triangular matrix $\left(p_{i j}\right)_{i, j}$ then the proximity matrix is $M=\mathrm{Id}-\mathrm{Pr}$ and hence, it only depends on the proximity relations.

We now analyse the structure of the proximity matrix. To do so we introduce some definition and prove a preliminary result.
1.6. Definition. Let $C$ he an effective Weil divisor on the rational surface singularity $(S, P)$ and $\mathscr{C}=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}\right\}$ a constellation with origin at $P$. Let $E_{\gamma}$ be an irreducible component of the exceptional locus of $\pi_{\mathscr{C}}$ obtained by the blowing up of $P_{i}$, i.e. $\gamma=(i, k)$ for some $k\left(1 \leq k \leq s_{i}\right)$, and $v_{\gamma}$ the valuation of the function field $K(S)$ induced by $E_{\gamma}$. Then, the strict transform $\bar{C}^{i-1}$ of $C$ on the surface $S_{i-1}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor and hence, $e_{\gamma}(C):=v_{\gamma}\left(\bar{C}^{i-1}\right)$ is a well-defined rational number. The rational numbers $\left\{e_{\gamma}=e_{\gamma}(C)\right\}_{\gamma \in \Delta}$ are called the effective orders (or orders) of $C$ in $\mathscr{C}$.

Note that, in particular, if $(S, P)$ is nonsingular, $C$ is a curve on $(S, P)$ and $\mathscr{C}=$ $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}\right\}$ a constellation with origin at $P$, then, for $1 \leq i \leq m, e_{i}=v_{i}\left(\bar{C}^{i-1}\right)$ is the multiplicity of $\bar{C}^{i-1}$ at $P_{i}$.
1.7. Proposition. Let $C$ be an effective Weil divisor on $(S, P)$ and $\mathscr{C}$ a constellation with origin at $P$. Let $C^{*}$ and $\bar{C}$ be, respectively, the total and strict transform of $C$ by $\pi_{\mathscr{C}}$ and $\left\{e_{\gamma}\right\}_{\gamma}$ the orders of $C$ in $\mathscr{C}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{*}=\bar{C}+\sum e_{\gamma} E_{\gamma}^{*} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First, suppose that $C$ is a Cartier divisor on ( $S, P$ ) and take $h \in \mathcal{O}_{S, P}$ defining $C$ in $(S, P)$. Then, the total transform $C^{* 1}$ of $C$ in $S_{1}$ is the Cartier divisor on $S_{1}$ given
by $h$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{* 1}=\bar{C}^{1}+\sum_{k=1}^{s_{1}} v_{1 k}(C) E_{1 k}^{* 1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $C$ is not a Cartier divisor, there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r C$ is a Cartier divisor and hence, the above equality still holds. To complete the proof it is enough to apply induction on equality (4).
1.8. Corollary. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a constellation with origin at $P$ and fix an enumeration $\omega$ of $\Delta$. For $1 \leq i<j \leq m$, let $V_{i j}$ be the $\left(s_{i} \times s_{j}\right)$-matrix of rational numbers $V_{i j}=\left(e_{j t}\left(E_{i k}^{i}\right)\right)_{k, t}$ and let $V$ be the $(n \times n)$-upper triangular matrix consisting of $\left(V_{i j}\right)_{i<j}$ and with zeroes elsewhere. Then, the proximity matrix is $M_{\mathscr{C} \omega}=\mathrm{Id}-V$.

Moreover, for $i<j$, if $P_{j} \notin E_{i k}^{j-1}$ then $e_{j t}\left(E_{i k}^{i}\right)=0$ for $1 \leq t \leq s_{j}$, i.e. the kth row of $V_{i j}$ is zero. If $P_{j} \in E_{i k}^{j-1}$ then $e_{j t}\left(E_{i k}^{i}\right) \neq 0$ for $1 \leq t \leq s_{j}$, i.e. all the elements of the kth row of $V_{i j}$ are nonzero.

Proof. First note that, from the proof of 1.7 it follows that equality (3) is also true for a Weil divisor $C$ on a surface $S$ with rational singularities, instead of a rational surface singularity ( $S, P$ ). Now, to compute the matrix $M=M_{\mathscr{C} \omega}$ it suffices to apply (3) to each Weil divisor $E_{i k}^{i}$ defined on $S_{i}$, that is, we suppose our surface $S$ is $S_{i}$ and consider the desingularization $S_{\mathscr{C}} \rightarrow S_{i}$. In this way, one has

$$
E_{i k}=E_{i k}^{*}-\sum_{j>i} \sum_{1 \leq t \leq s_{j}} e_{j t}\left(E_{i k}^{i}\right) E_{j t}^{*}
$$

and the first assertion is proved. The second part of the corollary follows from the fact that $P_{j}$ is the center in $S_{j-1}$ of the valuation $v_{j t}$, for $\mathrm{l} \leq t \leq s_{j}$.
1.9. Definition. Given a constellation $\mathscr{C}$ with origin at $P$ and two points $P_{i}$ and $P_{j}$ in $\mathscr{C}$, we say that $P_{j}$ is proximate to $P_{i}$, and we denote it by $P_{j} \rightarrow P_{i}$ (or simply $j \rightarrow i$ ), if either $P_{j}$ is in the first infinitesimal neighbourhood of $P_{i}$ or else $P_{j}$ lies on the strict transform of the first infinitesimal neighbourhood of $P_{i}$. That is, if a labelling in the sense of 1.3 is given, say $\mathscr{C}=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}\right\}$, then $P_{j}$ is proximate to $P_{i}$ if and only if $j>i$ and $P_{j} \in E_{i k}^{j}$ for some $k, 1 \leq k \leq s_{i}$.

To each constellation $\mathscr{C}$ we associate a tree $\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{C}}$, or simply $\mathscr{T}$, in the following way: the vertices of $\mathscr{T}$ are in a one to one correspondence with the points in $\mathscr{C}$, and the edges with the pairs $\left(P_{i}, P_{j}\right)$ such that $P_{j}$ is in the first infinitesimal neighbourhood of $P_{i}$. We can also associate to $\mathscr{C}$ a tree with proximity relations $\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{G}}^{p}$, or $\mathscr{T}^{p}$. It consists of $\mathscr{T}$, together with some additional dotted lines corresponding to the pairs ( $P_{i}, P_{j}$ ) whenever $P_{j}$ is proximate to $P_{i}$ but not in the first infinitesimal neighbourhood of $P_{i}$.
1.10. Remark. If ( $S, P$ ) is nonsingular, knowing the tree with proximity relations is equivalent to knowing the proximity matrix. From Corollary 1.8 it follows that, in
general, for a rational surface singularity the tree with proximity relations is obtained from the proximity matrix, but this matrix contains more information.

We now discuss the structure of the intersection matrix $\Lambda_{\mathscr{C} \omega}=\left(E_{\alpha}^{*} \cdot E_{\beta}^{*}\right)_{x, \beta}$.
1.11. We embed the rational surface singularity $(S, P)$ in a germ of smooth variety $(Y, P)$ by $\sigma:(S, P) \rightarrow(Y, P)$ (recall that the dimension of $Y$ can be taken to be $r+1$, where $r$ is the multiplicity of ( $S, P$ ), see [1]). We consider the sequence of point blowing ups

where $\sigma_{i}$ is the embedding of $S_{i}$ in $Y_{i}$ and $\pi_{i+1}$ is the blowing up with center $\sigma_{i}\left(P_{i+1}\right)$. We denote by $\mathbb{E}_{i}^{i}$ the exceptional divisor of $\pi_{i}\left(\mathbb{E}_{i}^{i}\right.$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{r}$ if $r+1$ is the dimension of $Y$ ). There exist strictly positive integers $\rho_{i k}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq k \leq s_{i}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i}^{*}\left(\mathbb{E}_{i}^{i}\right)=\rho_{i 1} E_{i 1}^{i}+\cdots+\rho_{i s_{i}} E_{i s_{i}}^{i} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, for each $i, Z_{i}=\sum_{k} \rho_{i k} E_{i k}^{*}$ is the fundamental cycle for the desingularization $S_{\mathscr{G}} \rightarrow S_{i-1}$ of ( $S_{i-1}, P_{i}$ ) (see [1, Theorem 4]) and thus, the integers $\left\{\rho_{\gamma}\right\}_{\gamma \in \Delta_{\mathscr{G}}}$ do not depend on the embedding. The above relations give us some information about the matrix $\Lambda$.
1.12. Proposition. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a constellation with origin at $P$ and fix an enumeration $\omega$ of $\Delta_{\mathscr{G}}$. If, for $1 \leq i \leq m, \Lambda_{i}$ is the $\left(s_{i} \times s_{i}\right)$-matrix of rational numbers $\Lambda_{i}=$ $\left(E_{i k}^{*} \cdot E_{i r}^{*}\right)_{k, r}$, then $\Lambda_{\mathscr{C} \omega}$ is the symmetric matrix consisting of the boxes $\Lambda_{i}$ in the diagonal and zeroes elsewhere.

Moreover, with the notation in (5), if $\left(\underline{\rho}_{i}\right)^{t}=\left(\rho_{i 1}, \ldots, \rho_{i s_{i}}\right)$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\underline{\rho}_{i}\right)^{\mathrm{t}} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{i} \underline{\rho}_{i}=-\operatorname{mult}_{P_{i}}\left(S_{i-1}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if the point $P_{i}$ is nonsingular then $s_{i}=1$ and $\Lambda_{i}=-1$ and, if $(S, P)$ is nonsingular, then $\Lambda=-\mathrm{Id}$.

Proof. The fundamental cycle $Z_{i}=\sum_{k} \rho_{i k} E_{i k}^{*}$ for $S_{\mathscr{G}} \rightarrow S_{i-1}$ is equal to $\sigma_{m}^{*}\left(\mathbb{E}_{i}^{*}\right)$, where $\mathbb{E}_{i}^{*}$ is the total transform of $\mathbb{E}_{i}^{i}$ by the morphism $Y_{\mathscr{G}} \rightarrow Y_{i}$. When $i \neq j$ we have $\mathbb{E}_{i}^{*} \cdot \mathbb{E}_{j}^{*}=0$ and hence, $0=Z_{i} \cdot Z_{j}=\sum_{k, t} \rho_{i k} \rho_{j t}\left(E_{i k}^{*} \cdot E_{j t}^{*}\right)$. Since $E_{i k}^{*} \cdot E_{j t}^{*}$ is nonnegative for $i \neq j$ and all $\rho_{\gamma}$ are strictly positive, whenever $i \neq j$ we have $E_{i k}^{*} \cdot E_{j t}^{*}=0$ and hence the first assertion is proved. Equality (6) follows from the fact that the multiplicity of the rational surface singularity $\left(S_{i-1}, P_{i}\right)$ at $P_{i}$ is $Z_{i} . Z_{i}$ [1, Theorem 4].
1.13. Remark. Equality (5) insures that $\mathbb{E}_{i}^{*} . S_{\mathscr{G}}=\rho_{i 1} E_{i 1}^{*}+\cdots+\rho_{i s_{i}} E_{i s_{i}}^{*}$. However, the above assertion does not hold if we substitute the total transforms by the strict transforms. That is why the basis $\left\{E_{\gamma}^{*}\right\}_{\gamma}$ of $\mathbf{E}_{\mathscr{C}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ plays an important role.

For example, let $(S, P)$ be the rational double point of type $\mathbf{D}_{5}$ defined by $x^{4}+$ $x y^{2}+z^{2}=0$ in a neighbourhood of the point $P=(0,0,0)$ in $\mathbf{k}^{3}$ (where $\mathbf{k}$ is an algebraically closed field). Let $\mathscr{C}_{m}=\left\{P_{1}=P, P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}\right\}$ be the constellation defining the minimal desingularization of ( $S, P$ ), where $P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ are points in the first infinitesimal neighbourhood of $P_{1}$ giving rise to the irreducible components $E_{21}^{2}, E_{22}^{2}$ and $E_{3}^{3}$ in the respective point blowing ups, and $P_{4}$ is the point of intersection $E_{21}^{2} \cap E_{22}^{2}$, which defines only one irreducible component of the exceptional locus of $\pi_{\mathscr{C}_{m}}$. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be the constcllation $\mathscr{C}_{m} \cup\left\{P_{5}\right\}$ wherc $P_{5}$ is a point in the first infinitesimal ncighbourhood of $P_{1}$ such that $P_{5} \notin \mathscr{C}_{m}$. If we consider the natural embedding of $(S, P)$ in $Y=\mathbf{k}^{3}$, then the strict transform $\mathbb{E}_{1}$ of $\mathbb{E}_{1}^{1}$ in $Y_{\mathscr{C}}$ is given by $\mathbb{E}_{1}=\mathbb{E}_{1}^{*}-\mathbb{E}_{2}^{*}-\mathbb{E}_{3}^{*}-\mathbb{E}_{4}^{*}-\mathbb{E}_{5}^{*}$ and we have $\mathbb{E}_{1} \cdot S_{\mathscr{G}}=2 E_{1}^{*}-E_{21}^{*}-E_{22}^{*}-E_{3}^{*}-E_{4}^{*}-E_{5}^{*}$. However, the strict transform $E_{1}$ of $E_{1}^{l}$ by $\pi_{4}$ is $E_{1}=E_{1}^{*}-\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{21}^{*}+E_{22}^{*}+E_{3}^{*}+E_{4}^{*}\right)-E_{5}^{*}$, and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}_{1} \cdot S_{\mathscr{C}}=2 E_{1}+E_{5} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is different from $\rho_{1} E_{1}=2 E_{1}$.
In fact, in the same way as in 1.2 and 1.9 , we may define points infinitely near or proximate to the point $P$ viewed as points over the variety $Y$. In this way, the points infinitely near $P$ over $S$ are exactly the points infinitely near $P$ over $Y$ which lie on the corresponding strict transform of $S$. However, the notion of proximity is different if we consider the points over $S$ or over $Y$. For example, equality (7) insures that every closed point $P_{6}$ in $E_{5}-E_{1}$ is a point proximate to $P$ viewed as points over the ambient space $Y$, but it is not proximate to $P$ viewed as points over $S$. What we always have is that proximity over $S$ implies proximity over the ambient space, since $E_{j 1} \cup \cdots \cup E_{j s_{j}} \subset \mathbb{E}_{j} . S_{\mathscr{G}}$.

Given a constellation $\mathscr{C}$ with origin at the point $P$ of the rational surface singularity $(S, P)$ and an enumeration $\omega$ of $\Delta_{\mathscr{C}}$, the intersection form on $\mathbf{E}_{\mathscr{G}}$ may be represented by two different matrices: $\Lambda_{\mathscr{C}_{\omega}}$ in terms of the total transforms $\left\{E_{\gamma}^{*}\right\}$ and $\left(E_{\alpha} \cdot E_{\beta}\right)_{\alpha, \beta}$ in terms of the strict transforms $\left\{E_{\gamma}\right\}$. Let us show the relationship between $\Lambda_{\mathscr{C} \omega}$ and $\left(E_{\alpha} \cdot E_{\beta}\right)_{\alpha, \beta}$.
1.14. Theorem. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a constellation with origin at $P$ and $\omega$ an enumeration of $\Delta_{\mathscr{G}}$; then we have $\left(E_{\alpha} . E_{\beta}\right)_{\alpha, \beta}=M_{\mathscr{6} \omega} \Lambda_{\mathscr{C} \omega} M_{\mathscr{G} \omega^{*}}^{t}$ Conversely, given any total order on the set of irreducible components of the exceptional locus of $\pi_{\varphi}$, from the intersection matrix $\left(E_{\alpha} . E_{\beta}\right)_{\alpha, \beta}$ with respect to this order we can recover an enumeration $\omega$ and we can compute the proximity matrix $M_{\mathscr{E}_{\omega}}$ and the intersection matrix $\mathcal{A}_{\mathscr{E}_{\omega}}$.

Proof. The first equality is clear from the definitions. Now, given the matrix $\left(E_{\alpha} \cdot E_{\beta}\right)_{\alpha, \beta}$, we can compute the fundamental cycle $Z$ for the morphism $\pi_{\mathscr{\mathscr { C }}}$, since $Z$ is the minimal cycle with exceptional support such that $Z . E_{\gamma} \leq 0$ for each $\gamma$. Besides, Tjurina proved
that $Z . E_{i k}=0$ for $i \neq 1,1 \leq k \leq s_{i}$ and $Z . E_{1 k} \neq 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq s_{1}$ (see [19, Proposition 1.2]). Thercforc, we can dcduce which arc the filcs of ( $\left.E_{\alpha} \cdot E_{\beta}\right)_{\alpha, \beta}$ corresponding to the irreducible components of the exceptional locus of $\pi_{\mathscr{C}}$ defined by the blowing up of $P$. Suppose these are the $s_{1}$ first files, then the $\left(n-s_{1}\right) \times\left(n-s_{1}\right)$-matrix obtained by erasing the $s_{1}$ first files and columns of $\left(E_{\alpha} \cdot E_{\beta}\right)_{\alpha, \beta}$ defines a negative definite bilinear form whose dual graph has as many connected components as points in the first infinitesimal neighbourhood of $P$ are in $\mathscr{C}$, that is, the space in which this bilinear form is defined is decomposed as orthogonal sum of subspaces. By reiterating the above process, we recover an enumeration $\omega$ of $\Delta_{\mathscr{E}}$ and we obtain the tree $\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{C}}$ together with the assignation to each vertex $v_{i}$ of $\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{C}}$ the number $s_{i}$ of irreducible components of the exceptional locus of $\pi_{\mathscr{E}}$ defined by the blowing up of the point $P_{i}$ corresponding to $v_{i}$.

Now, let us show how the proximity matrix $M=M_{\mathscr{C} \omega}=\left(m_{\alpha \beta}\right)_{\alpha, \beta}$ is obtained from this information. We have $M=\mathrm{Id}-V$ where $V$ is the upper triangular matrix consisting of $\left(V_{i j}\right)_{i<j}$ (see 1.8). Let us compute the $\left\{V_{i j}\right\}_{1 \leq i<j \leq m}$ in a recursive way. We know that

$$
E_{i_{0}, r}^{*}=E_{i_{0}, r}-\sum_{i>i_{0}, 1 \leq s \leq s_{i}} m_{\left(i_{0}, r\right),(i, s)} E_{i, s}^{*},
$$

where $\left\{m_{\left(i_{0}, r\right),(i, s)}\right\}$ is the unique solution of the system of equations defined by imposing $E_{i_{1}, r}^{*} . E_{i, s}^{*}=0$ for $i>i_{0}, 1 \leq s \leq s_{i}$. Thus, once we know $\left\{V_{i j}\right\}_{i_{i}}$, for $i>i_{0}$, we can write $E_{i, s}^{*}$ in terms of the $E_{\gamma}$ 's and hence, the solutions of the preceding system can be computed from the knowledge of $\left(E_{\alpha} \cdot E_{\beta}\right)_{x_{,} \beta}$.

Finally, the intersection matrix $\Lambda=\left(E_{\alpha}^{*} \cdot E_{\beta}^{*}\right)$ can be obviously described in terms of $M$ and $\left(E_{\alpha} \cdot E_{\beta}\right)_{\alpha, \beta}$. In fact, $\Lambda=M^{-1}\left(E_{\alpha} \cdot E_{\beta}\right)_{\alpha, \beta}\left(M^{t}\right)^{-1}$.
1.15. Remark. From the preceding result it follows that, given a constellation $\mathscr{C}$, the integers $\left\{\rho_{\gamma}\right\}_{\gamma \in A_{ళ}}$ can be computed from the proximity and intersection matrices $M$ and $\Lambda$ of $\mathscr{C}$ with respect to an enumeration $\omega$, or equivalently, from the matrix $\left(E_{\alpha} \cdot E_{\beta}\right)_{\alpha, \beta}$. In fact, to obtain $\left\{\rho_{1 k}\right\}_{k=1}^{s_{1}}$ we just have to compute the fundamental cycle $Z$ for $\pi_{\mathscr{C}}$ from the matrix $\left(E_{\alpha} \cdot E_{\beta}\right)_{\alpha, \beta}$ and express it in terms of the $E_{\gamma}^{*}$ 's by the change of basis defined by $M$. For $i \geq 2$, the proximity and intersection matrices of the desingularization $S_{\mathscr{C}} \rightarrow S_{i-1}$ can be computed in a recursive way from $M$ and $\Lambda$ and hence, applying the above argument to the fundamental cycle $Z_{i}$ for $S_{\mathscr{C}} \rightarrow S_{i-1}$, we obtain the integers $\left\{\rho_{i k}\right\}_{k=1}^{S_{i}}$.

## 2. Classification of curves in a rational surface singularity

In this section we extend the notion of equisingularity of germs of plane curves to germs of curves on a rational surface singularity ( $S, P$ ). We prove as main result that if $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are equisingular curves in $(S, P)$ then they are equiresoluble, that
is, the respective multiplicities of the strict transforms of the branches of $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ coincide.
2.1. Definition. Let $C$ be a germ of reduced curve embedded in the rational surface singularity ( $S, P$ ). An embedded desingularization of $C$ in $(S, P)$ is a desingularization $\pi: X \rightarrow S$ of $(S, P)$ such that the strict transform $\bar{C}$ of $C$ by $\pi$ is nonsingular and the support of the total transform $C^{*}$ has only normal crossings. By composing the minimal desingularization $\pi_{\mathscr{C}_{m}}: S_{\mathscr{ধ 匕 m}_{m}} \rightarrow S$ of $(S, P)$ with the minimal desingularization of the support of the total transform of $C$ on $S_{\mathscr{C}_{m}}$, we get an embedded desingularization $\pi$ of $C$ in $(S, P)$ which is minimal in the sense that it satisfies the universal property. The constellation $\mathscr{C}$ with origin at $P$ such that $\pi=\pi_{\mathscr{C}}$ is called the minimal constellation for $C$ in $(S, P)$.
2.2. Definition. Let $C$ be a germ of reduced curve in $(S, P)$ and let $\mathscr{C}$ be the minimal constellation for $C$ in $(S, P)$. We define the equisingularity class of $C$ in $(S, P)$ to be the combinatorial object consisting of the weighted dual graph of $\pi_{\mathscr{G}}$ together with, for each $\gamma \in \Delta_{\mathscr{C}}$, an arrow with origin at the vertex corresponding to the divisor $E_{\gamma}$ weighted by the number $d_{\gamma}$ of analytic irreducible components of $C$ whose strict transform intersects $E_{\gamma}$.

Given two germs $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ of reduced curves embedded in ( $S, P$ ), we say that $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are equisingular in ( $S, P$ ) if their respective equisingularity classes in $(S, P)$ coincide.
2.3. Definition. Let $\mathscr{R}=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}\right\}$ be the minimal constellation for $C$ in ( $S, P$ ) and let $\left\{e_{\gamma}\right\}_{\gamma \in \Delta_{6}}$ be the orders of $C$ in $\mathscr{C}$. We define the minimal weighted tree $\mathscr{T}_{S}(C)$ of $C$ in $(S, P)$ to be the tree $\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{G}}$ together with the weights $\left(e_{i 1}, \ldots, e_{i s_{i}}\right)$ associated to the vertex of $\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{G}}$ corresponding to $P_{i}$. Analogously, the minimal weighted tree with proximity relations $\mathscr{T}_{S}^{p}(C)$ of $C$ in $(S, P)$ consists of adding to $\mathscr{T}_{S}(C)$ the dotted lines corresponding to the proximity relations.
2.4. Proposition. Let $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ be equisingular germs of reduced curves in ( $S, P$ ). Then, their respective minimal weighted trees with proximity relations coincide.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be the minimal constellation for $C$ in $(S, P)$. The total transform of $C$ by $\pi_{\mathscr{C}}$ is $C^{*}=\bar{C}+\sum_{\gamma} e_{\gamma} E_{\gamma}^{*}$ (Proposition 1.7) and the number $d_{\gamma}$ of analytic irreducible components of $C$ whose strict transform intersects $E_{\gamma}$ is $d_{\gamma}=\bar{C} . E_{\gamma}$. Thus, given an enumeration $\omega$ of $\Delta_{\mathscr{C}}$, we have $\underline{d}=-M \Lambda \underline{e}$ where $M$ and $\Lambda$ denote, respectively, the proximity matrix $M=M_{\mathscr{C} \omega}$ and the intersection matrix $\Lambda=\Lambda \mathscr{C}_{\omega}$ of $\mathscr{C}$ with respect to $\omega$. Now, Theorem 1.14 insures that $\left(\left(E_{\alpha} . E_{\beta}\right)_{\alpha, \beta}, \underline{d}\right)$ and $(M, \Lambda, \underline{e})$ are equivalent data. Therefore, two germs $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ of reduced curves are equisingular in $(S, P)$ if and only if, given the minimal constellations $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}$ for $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ in $(S, P)$, there exist enumerations $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ of $\Delta_{\mathscr{C}}$ and $\Lambda_{\mathscr{C}}{ }^{\prime}$ such that $M_{\mathscr{C} \omega}=M_{\mathscr{G}^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}}, \Lambda_{\mathscr{C}} \omega=\Lambda_{\mathscr{G}}{ }^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}$ and $\underline{e}=\underline{e}^{\prime}$. In particular, it follows that if $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are equisingular in $(S, P)$ then
their respective minimal weighted trees with proximity relations $\mathscr{T}_{S}^{p}(C)$ and $\mathscr{T}_{S}^{p}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ coincide.
2.5. Remark. In the nonsingular case, the intersection matrix $\Lambda$ is -Id and the proximity matrix defines and is defined by the proximity relations. Therefore, two germs $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ of reduced curves embedded in a nonsingular germ of surface are equisingular if and only if their respective minimal weighted trees with proximity relations coincide. The minimal weighted tree with proximity relations of a curve $C$ in a nonsingular surface has the same information as the sequences of multiplicities of the branches of $C$ together with the intersection multiplicities of every two branches of $C$. Thus, Definition 2.2 coincides with the notion of equisingularity of curves if $(S, P)$ is nonsingular (see [20]).

In general, the converse to Proposition 2.4 is not true as we show in the next example. Let $(S, P)$ be a rational double point of type $\mathbf{A}_{3}$. The exceptional locus of the blowing up with center $P$ has two irreducible components $E_{11}^{1}$ and $E_{12}^{1}$ and, if $P_{2}$ is the intersection point $E_{11}^{\mathrm{l}} \cap E_{12}^{1}$, then $\mathscr{C}_{m}=\left\{P_{1}=P, P_{2}\right\}$ is the minimal constellation for $(S, P)$. Let us take a point $P_{3} \in E_{11}^{1}, P_{3} \notin E_{12}^{1}$ and consider the constellation $\mathscr{C}=\mathscr{C}_{m} \cup\left\{P_{3}\right\}$.

Let $\left\{E_{11}, E_{12}, E_{2}, E_{3}\right\}$ be the enumerated irreducible components of the exceptional locus of $\pi_{\mathscr{C}}$ and let $\underline{d}^{\mathrm{t}}=(0,1,2,2)$ and $\left(\underline{d}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{t}}=(0,0,4,1)$. For each $\gamma \in \Delta_{\mathscr{G}}$ we take $d_{\gamma}$ (resp. $d_{\gamma}^{\prime}$ ) distinct nonsingular irreducible algebroid curves in $S_{\mathscr{C}}$ transversal to $E_{\gamma}$ and not intersecting $E_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \neq \gamma$, and we define $C$ (resp. $C^{\prime}$ ) to be the projection on ( $S, P$ ) of this union of curves. Both $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are germs of reducible curves embedded in $(S, P)$ whose minimal constellation is $\mathscr{C}$. Moreover, their respective equisingularity classes in $(S, P)$ are as shown in Figs. 1 and 2 and hence, $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are not equisingular in $(S, P)$.

However, their minimal weighted trees with proximity relations $\mathscr{T}_{S}^{p}(C)$ and $\mathscr{T}_{S}^{p}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ coincide. In fact, if $\left\{e_{\gamma}\right\}_{\gamma}$ and $\left\{e_{\gamma}^{\prime}\right\}_{\gamma}$ are respectively the orders of $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{C}$, then we have $e_{11}=e_{11}^{\prime}=11 / 4, e_{12}=e_{12}^{\prime}=9 / 4, e_{2}=e_{3}^{\prime}=1, e_{3}=e_{2}^{\prime}=2$. Therefore, $\mathscr{T}_{S}^{p}(C)=\mathscr{T}_{S}^{p}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ is as shown in Fig. 3.
2.6. Proposition. Let $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ be two equisingular germs of reduced curves in $(S, P)$. If $C$ is a Cartier divisor, then $C^{\prime}$ is a Cartier divisor.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{C}$ (resp. $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}$ ) be the minimal constellation for $C$ (resp. $C^{\prime}$ ) in $(S, P)$ and $\left\{e_{\gamma}\right\}_{\gamma}$ (resp. $\left\{e_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\}_{\alpha}$ ) the orders of $C$ in $\mathscr{C}$ (resp. of $C^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}$ ). Since $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are
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equisingular in $(S, P)$, there exist enumerations $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ of $\Delta_{\mathscr{C}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathscr{G}}$, such that $M_{\mathscr{C} \omega}=M_{\mathscr{C}^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}}, \Lambda_{\mathscr{C} \omega}=\Lambda_{\mathscr{C}}{ }^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}$ and $\underline{e}=\underline{e}^{\prime}$. Let $\left\{E_{\gamma}\right\}_{y}$ (resp. $\left\{E_{\gamma}^{\prime}\right\}_{\gamma}$ ) be the irreducible components of the exceptional locus of $\pi_{\mathscr{G}}$ (resp. $\pi_{\mathscr{C}^{\prime}}$ ). Then, the respective total transforms of $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ by $\pi_{\mathscr{C}}$ and $\pi_{\mathscr{C}}$, are $C^{*}=\bar{C}+\sum b_{\gamma} E_{\gamma}$ and $C^{*}=\bar{C}^{\prime}+\sum b_{\gamma} E_{\gamma}^{\prime}$ where $\underline{b}=\left(M_{\mathscr{C}, \omega}^{\mathrm{t}}\right)^{-1} \underline{e}=\left(M_{\mathscr{G}, \omega^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{t}}\right)^{-1} \underline{e}^{\prime}$. If $C$ is a Cartier divisor, then the $b_{y}$ 's are integers and, applying to $C^{\prime *}$ the result of Artin quoted in 1.1(b), we conclude that $C^{\prime}$ is a Cartier divisor.
2.7. Proposition. Let $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ be two equisingular germs of reduced curves in $(S, P)$. If $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}$ are minimal constellations for $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ in $(S, P)$, then $\ell\left(\left(\pi_{\mathscr{G}}\right)_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{C}} / \mathcal{O}_{C}\right)=$ $\ell\left(\left(\pi_{G^{\prime}}\right){ }_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{C}^{\prime}} / \mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}\right)$, i.e. the $\delta$-invariants $\delta(C)$ and $\delta\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ coincide.

Proof. With the notation as in 2.6 , let $D_{C}=\sum b_{\gamma} E_{\gamma}$ be the divisor with exceptional support for $\pi_{\mathscr{C}}$ defined by the total transform of $C$. Let $\left\lceil D_{C}\right\rceil$ be the minimal divisor with exceptional support for $\pi_{\mathscr{C}}$ such that ( $D_{C}-\left\lceil D_{C}\right\rceil$ ). $E_{\gamma} \geq 0$ for all $\gamma$. Then we have $\delta(C)=\frac{1}{2} D_{C} \cdot\left(-D_{C}+K_{S_{ধ}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} e(C)$ where $K_{S_{\mathscr{ধ}}}$ is a canonical divisor on $S_{\mathscr{C}}$ and $e(C)=\left(D_{C}-\left\lceil D_{C}\right\rceil\right) .\left(D_{C}-\left\lceil D_{C}\right\rceil-K_{S_{8}}\right)$ (see [9, Theorem 2.2]). Since ( $S, P$ ) is a rational surface singularity, $p_{a}\left(E_{\gamma}\right)=0([2$, Lemma 1.3]) and, aplying the adjunction formula to each $E_{\gamma}$ we deduce that $E_{\gamma} K_{S_{ধ}}=-2-\left(E_{\gamma}, E_{\gamma}\right)$. Therefore, $D_{C} .\left(-D_{C}+K_{S_{ধ}}\right)$ and $e(C)$ only depend on the equisingularity class of $C$ in $(S, P)$ and hence, $\delta(C)=\delta\left(C^{\prime}\right)$.

Now, let us view the germs of reduced curves in ( $S, P$ ) as germs of curves in an ambient nonsingular variety, and let us study its behaviour.
2.8. Definition. Let $C$ be a germ of reduced curve centered at the point $P$. The normalization $n: \widetilde{C} \rightarrow C$ of $C$ is the composition of a sequence of point blowing ups. Let $\mathscr{C}_{0}$ be the constellation of points infinitely near $P$ over $C$ so defined and $\mathscr{C}_{0}^{*}$ the constellation obtained by adding to $\mathscr{C}_{0}$ the closed points in $n^{-1}(P)$. In this way, the branches of the tree $\mathscr{T}_{0}(C)$ associated to $\mathscr{C}_{0}^{*}$ correspond bijectively to the branches of $C$ at $P$. The equiresolution class of $C$ is the combinatorial data ( $\mathscr{T}_{0}(C), \underline{m}$ ) where $\underline{m}$ consists of a weight funtion $m_{B}$ for each branch $B$ of $\mathscr{T}_{0}(C)$. Each $m_{B}$ is defined on the set of vertices of $B$ and the $\underline{m}_{B}$-weight of the vertex of $B$ corresponding to a point $Q$ is the multiplicity at $Q$ of the strict transform of the branch of $C$ corresponding to $B$.

Given two germs of reduced curves $C$ and $C^{\prime}$, we say that $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are equiresoluble if their respective equiresolution classes coincide.

Let us consider an embedding of the rational surface singularity $(S, P)$ in a germ of smooth variety $(Y, P)$. Let $C$ be a germ of irreducible curve embedded in ( $S, P$ ) and $\mathscr{C}$ the minimal constellation for $C$ in $(S, P)$. Then, following the notation in 1.11 and 1.12, we obtain the following result.
2.9. Lemma. Given a point $P_{i}$ in $\mathscr{C}$, if $\left(\underline{e}_{i}\right)^{\mathbf{t}}=\left(e_{i 1}, \ldots, e_{i_{s}}\right)$ are the orders of $C$ at $P_{i}$, then we have

$$
\operatorname{mult}_{P_{i}}\left(\bar{C}^{i-1}\right)=\mathbb{E}_{i}^{*} \cdot \bar{C}=Z_{i} \cdot \bar{C}=-\left(\underline{\rho}_{i}\right)^{\mathrm{t}} \Lambda_{i} \underline{e}_{i}
$$

Proof. Given a curve embedded in a nonsingular variety, the multiplicity of this curve at a closed point $P^{\prime}$ is the intersection product of the strict transform of the curve with the exceptional divisor of the blowing up of $P^{\prime}$. Therefore, the first equality holds. The other equalities follow from the facts that $\mathbb{E}_{i}^{*} \cdot S_{\mathscr{C}}=Z_{i}$ and $E_{i k}^{*} \cdot E_{i^{\prime} k^{\prime}}^{*}=0$ whenever $i \neq i^{\prime}$.
2.10. Theorem. Let $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ be two equisingular germs of reduced curves in $(S, P)$. Then, they are equiresoluble.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{C}$ (resp. $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}$ ) be the minimal constellation for $C$ (resp. $C^{\prime}$ ) in ( $S, P$ ) and $\left\{e_{\gamma}\right\}_{\gamma}$ (resp. $\left\{e_{\gamma}^{\prime}\right\}_{\gamma}$ ) the orders of $C$ in $\mathscr{C}$ (resp. of $C^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}$ ). There exist enumerations $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ of $\Delta_{\mathscr{G}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathscr{G}}{ }^{\prime}$, respectively, such that $M_{\mathscr{G} \omega}=M_{\mathscr{C}^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}}, \Lambda_{\mathscr{C} \omega}=\Lambda_{\mathscr{G ^ { \prime }}} \omega^{\prime}$ and $\underline{e}=\underline{e}^{\prime}$. Call these data ( $M, \Lambda, \underline{e}$ ) and let $\underline{d}=-M \Lambda \underline{e}$. In this way, if $\left\{E_{\gamma}\right\}_{\gamma}$ and $\left\{E_{\gamma}^{\prime}\right\}_{\gamma}$ are the irreducible components of the exceptional locus of $\pi_{\mathscr{C}}$ and $\pi_{\mathscr{G}}$ respectively then, for each $\gamma, d_{\gamma}$ is the number of analytic irreducible components of $C$ (resp. $C^{\prime}$ ) whose strict transform intersects $E_{\gamma}$ (resp. $E_{\gamma}^{\prime}$ ).

From the matrices $M$ and $\Lambda$ we deduce the tree $\mathscr{T}$ associated to both $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}$. In fact, the number of vertices of $\mathscr{T}$ is the number of nonzero boxes in $\Lambda$ and the matrix $M$ define the structure of tree (see 1.8 and 1.12). The $d_{\gamma}$ 's determine the number of branches of both $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ and its relative situation in $\mathscr{T}$. Therefore, since the $\underline{m}$ weights of the equiresolution classes consist of a weight funtion for each branch, we may suppose, without loss of generality, that both $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ have only one branch.

Now, we compute the integers $\left\{\rho_{\gamma}\right\}_{\gamma}$ from the matrices $M$ and $\Lambda$ as in 1.15, obtaining that the $\rho_{\gamma}$ 's are the same for both $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}$. Therefore, Lemma 2.9 guarantees that, if $P_{i}$ and $P_{i}^{\prime}$ are the points of $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}$ corresponding to a vertex $v_{i}$ in $\mathscr{T}$, then $\operatorname{mult}_{P_{i}}\left(\bar{C}^{i-1}\right)=\operatorname{mult}_{P_{i}^{\prime}}\left(\bar{C}^{\prime i-1}\right)$, that is, the $\underline{m}$-functions defined by $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{T}$ coincide. Moreover, since $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are irreducible, there is a unique branch $\mathscr{T}^{\prime}$ of $\mathscr{T}$ for which the $\underline{m}$-weights are nonzero. The tree $\mathscr{T}_{0}^{\prime}$ obtained erasing the vertices of $\mathscr{T}^{\prime}$ for which the multiplicity is 1 is the tree associated to the constellations $\mathscr{C}_{0}$ and $\mathscr{C}_{0}^{\prime}$ defining the normalizations of $C$ and $C^{\prime}$. Thus, to compute the equiresolution class of both $C$ and $C^{\prime}$, we consider $\mathscr{T}_{0}^{\prime}$ together with the $\underline{m}$-function restricted to $\mathscr{T}_{0}^{\prime}$, and we add a final vertex with multiplicity 1 . Therefore, the equiresolution classes of $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ coincide.

2.11. Remark. In the proof of the preceding theorem, we have computed explicitly the equiresolution class of a curve $C$ embedded in $(S, P)$ from the equisingularity class of $C$ in $(S, P)$. However, the converse to Theorem 2.10 is not true, as we show in next cxamplc.

Let $(S, P)$ be a rational double point of type $\mathbf{A}_{3}$ and consider the minimal constellation $\mathscr{C}_{m}=\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}\right\}$ and the constellation $\mathscr{C}=\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}\right\}$ defined in 2.5. Let $C$ (resp. $C^{\prime}$ ) be a germ of irreducible curve in ( $S, P$ ) whose strict transform intersects transversally $E_{2}$ (resp. $E_{3}$ ). In the same way as in $2.5, C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are obtained by projecting a suitable algebroid curve in $S_{\mathscr{C}}$. The minimal constellations for $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ in $(S, P)$ are, respectively, $\mathscr{C}_{m}$ and $\mathscr{C}$ and their equisingularity classes in $(S, P)$ are shown in Figs. 4 and 5.

Therefore, $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are not equisingular in ( $S, P$ ). However, following the process described in the proof of 2.10, we deduce that both $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are nonsingular curves and hence, they are equiresoluble.

## 3. Minimal system of generators of a complete ideal

In this section we obtain a formula to calculate the minimal number of generators of an m-primary complete ideal $I$ of the local ring $R=\mathcal{O}_{S, P}$ of a rational surface singularity ( $S, P$ ), generalizing the formula given by Hoskin and Deligne when ( $S, P$ ) is nonsingular. We also give an algorithm to describe a minimal system of generators of $I$, which is a generalization of the procedure of Casas [5].
3.1. Definition. A cluster of points infinitely near $P$ (or cluster with origin at $P$ ) is a pair $K=\left(\mathscr{C},\left\{v_{\gamma}\right\}_{\gamma \in \Delta_{\mathscr{G}}}\right)$ where $\mathscr{C}$ is a constellation with origin at $P$ and the $v_{\gamma}$ 's are nonnegative rational numbers in such a way that the sequence $\left(v_{i 1}, \ldots, v_{i s_{i}}\right)$ is associated to the point $P_{i}$ of $\mathscr{C}$. We call this sequence the virtual orders of $K$ at $P_{i}$ and we call the constellation $\mathscr{C}$ the support of $K$.
3.2. Remark. To each germ of reduced curve $C$ in $(S, P)$ we may associate a cluster $K(C)=(\mathscr{C}, \underline{e})$ where $\mathscr{C}$ is the minimal constellation for $C$ in $(S, P)$ and $\left\{e_{\gamma}\right\}_{\gamma}$ are the orders of $C$ in $\mathscr{C}$. If $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are two germs of reduced curves in $(S, P)$ such that $K(C)=K\left(C^{\prime}\right)$, then they are equisingular in $(S, P)$. However, the converse is not true. For example, let $C$ (resp. $C^{\prime}$ ) be a germ of irreducible curve in a double point of type $\mathbf{A}_{3}$ whose strict transform by the minimal desingularization of $\mathbf{A}_{3}$ intersects transversally $E_{11}$ (resp. $E_{12}$ ), then $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are equisingular in ( $S, P$ ) but $K(C)$ is different from $K\left(C^{\prime}\right)$.
3.3. Definition. Let $K=(\mathscr{C}, \underline{v})$ be a cluster with origin at $P$. We consider the $\mathbb{Q}$ Cartier divisor on $S_{\mathscr{G}}$ defined by $D_{K}:=\sum_{\gamma} v_{\gamma} E_{\gamma}^{*}$. Given an effective Weil divisor $C$ on ( $S, P$ ), we say that $C$ goes through $K$ if and only if $C^{*} \geq D_{K}$ (i.e. $C^{*}-D_{K}$ is effective) where $C^{*}$ is the total transform of $C$ by $\pi_{\mathscr{C}}$. We say that $C$ goes through $K$ with effective orders equal to the virtual ones if and only if the orders of $C$ in $\mathscr{C}$ are $\left\{v_{\gamma}\right\}_{\gamma}$, or equivalently, if $C^{*}=\bar{C}+D_{K}$ where $\bar{C}$ is the strict transform of $C$ by $\pi_{ष}$.

The set of cffcctive Wcil divisors on ( $S, P$ ) going through a given cluster $K$ defines a family of cycles in $(S, P)$. Analogously, we may consider the Cartier divisors going through $K$ and define the following ideal of $R$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{K}:=\{0\} \cup\{h \in R-\{0\} / \text { the divisor ( } h \text { ) goes through } K\} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, $I_{K}$ is the stalk at $P$ of $\left(\pi_{\mathscr{C}}\right)_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S_{\mathscr{E}}}\left(-D_{K}\right)\right)$, i.e. the set of all $h \in R$ such that the $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor $(h)^{*}-D_{K}$ is effective. Thus, it is a complete (i.e. integrally closed) and m-primary ideal, where m is the maximal ideal of $R$.

Conversely, let us associate a cluster to each m-primary complete ideal.
3.4. Definition. Let $I$ be an m-primary complete ideal of $R$. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a constellation with origin at $P$ such that the sheaf $I O_{S_{\mathscr{G}}}$ is invertible, and $D_{l}$ the divisor on $S_{\mathscr{C}}$ such that $I \mathcal{O}_{S_{\delta}}=\mathcal{O}_{S_{\ell}}\left(-D_{I}\right)$. The divisor $D_{I}$ has exceptional support for the desingularization $\pi_{\mathscr{G}}$. Moreover, since $I$ is finitely generated and the orders in $\mathscr{C}$ of any effective Cartier divisor ( $h$ ) are nonnegative rational numbers, we have $D_{I}=\sum_{\gamma} \nu_{\gamma} E_{\gamma}^{*}$ for some nonnegative rational numbers $\left\{v_{\gamma}\right\}_{\gamma}$. We define the cluster $K_{I}$ with support in $\mathscr{C}$ associated to $I$ to be $K_{I}=\left(\mathscr{C},\left\{v_{\gamma}\right\}_{\gamma}\right)$. By the completeness of $I$, we have $I=I_{K_{\prime}}$, i.e. $I$ is the stalk at $P$ of $\left(\pi_{\mathscr{C}}\right)_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S_{\mathscr{E}}}\left(-D_{I}\right)\right)$ ([14, Proposition 6.2]).

Note that, if $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}$ is another constellation such that $\pi_{\mathscr{C}^{\prime}}$ factorices through $S_{\mathscr{C}}$, then $\Delta_{\mathscr{C}} \subset \Delta_{\mathscr{C}^{\prime}}$ and the cluster with support in $\mathscr{G}^{\prime}$ associated to $I$ is $\left(\mathscr{G}^{\prime},\left\{v_{\gamma}^{\prime}\right\}_{\gamma \in \Delta_{\mathscr{q}^{\prime}}}\right)$ where $v_{\gamma}^{\prime}=v_{\gamma}$ if $\gamma \in \Delta_{\mathscr{G}}$ and $v_{\gamma}^{\prime}=0$ otherwise.

In fact, if $K=(\mathscr{C}, \underline{v})$ is the cluster with support in $\mathscr{C}$ associated to $I$, then $\left\{v_{\gamma}\right\}_{\gamma \in \Delta_{\mathscr{G}}}$ are the orders in $\mathscr{C}$ of the Cartier divisor defined by a generic element of $I$ and hence, there exist effective Cartier divisors going through $K$ with effective orders equal to the virtual ones. Conversely, let us show that this condition characterizes the clusters $K$ which are associated to some complete ideal. The following proposition is a generalization of the geometric theory of Enriques.
3.5. Proposition. Given a cluster $K=(\mathscr{C}, \underline{v})$ with origin at $P$, the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $D_{K}$ is a divisor on $S_{\mathscr{C}}$ and $D_{K} . E_{\gamma} \leq 0$ for all $\gamma \in \Delta_{\mathscr{C}}$.
(ii) There exists an effective Cartier divisor $C$ on ( $S, P$ ) going through $K$ with effective orders equal to the virtual ones.
(iii) There exist Cartier divisors as in (ii), and the only points infinitely near $P$ through which all these Cartier divisors go are the points in $\mathscr{C}$.
The clusters $K$ satisfying the above conditions are called Cartier clusters.

Proof. (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is obvious. To prove (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i), let $C$ be a Cartier divisor satisfying (ii). Then $C^{*}=\bar{C}+D_{K}$ and hence, $D_{K}$ is a divisor and $D_{K} \cdot E_{\gamma}=-\bar{C} \cdot E_{\gamma} \leq 0$ for all $\gamma$. Now, suppose $K$ is a Cartier cluster, then $d_{\gamma}=-D_{K} \cdot E_{\gamma}$ is a nonnegative integer. For every $\gamma$, we take $d_{\gamma}$ nonsingular irreducible algebroid curves in $S_{\mathscr{G}}$ transversal to $E_{\gamma}$ and not intersecting any of the $E_{\alpha}$ 's, for $\alpha \neq \gamma$. We consider the union $\mathscr{E}$ of these curves and the divisor on $S_{\mathscr{C}}$ given by $D^{\prime}=\mathscr{E}+D_{K}$. For any $\gamma, D^{\prime} . E_{\gamma}=0$ and hence, 1.1(b) guarantees the existence of an element $h \in R$ such that ( $h)^{*}=D^{\prime}$. The Cartier divisor $C$ on ( $S, P$ ) defined by $h$ goes through $K$ with effective orders equal to the virtual ones. If a different choice $\mathscr{E}_{\mathscr{\prime}}$ of the algebroid curves in $S_{\mathscr{G}}$ is made, then we obtain a new Cartier divisor $C^{\prime}$ on $(S, P)$ going through $K$ with effective orders equal to the virtual ones and such that the unique points infinitely near $P$ on the strict transforms of $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are the points of $\mathscr{C}$.
3.6. Corollary. Given a constellation $\mathscr{C}$ with origin at $P$, there is a one to one correspondence between m-primary complete ideals $I$ of $R$ such that the sheaf $I \mathcal{O}_{S_{8}}$ is invertible and Cartier clusters with support in $\mathscr{C}$.

Proof. It follows from 3.5 because, given a Cartier cluster $K=(\mathscr{C}, v), D_{K}$ is a divisor on $S_{\mathscr{C}}$ and $K$ is the cluster with support in $\mathscr{C}$ associated to the complete ideal defined by the stalk at $P$ of $\left(\pi_{\mathscr{6}}\right)_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S_{8}}\left(-D_{K}\right)\right)$.

Now, given an $R$-module $M$, we denote by $\ell(M)$ the length of $M$ as $R$-module. Given an ideal $I$ of $R$, the colength of $I$ is denoted by $\ell(R / I)$. The following proposition will be used to compute the minimal number of generators of an m-primary complete ideal in terms of its associated cluster.
3.7. Proposition. Let I be an m-primary complete ideal of $R$. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a constellation with origin at $P$ such that $I \mathcal{O}_{S_{8}}$ is invertible, $K=(\mathscr{C}, \underline{v})$ the Cartier cluster with support in $\mathscr{C}$ associated to $I$ and $D=D_{K}$ the corresponding divisor. If $K_{S_{8}}$ is a canonical divisor on $S_{\mathscr{C}}$, and $M$ and $\Lambda$ are the proximity and intersection matrices of $\mathscr{C}$ with respect to some enumeration of $\Delta_{\mathscr{E}}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell(R / I)=-\frac{1}{2} D \cdot\left(D+K_{S_{\psi}}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} \underline{v}^{\mathrm{t}} \Lambda \underline{v}+\underline{v}^{\mathrm{t}} M^{-1}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{\gamma} \cdot E_{\gamma}\right)\right)_{\gamma} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $(S, P)$ is a rational surface singularity, we have $\ell(R / I)=h^{0}\left(S_{\mathscr{C}}, \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)=$ $\mathscr{X}\left(\mathcal{O}_{D}\right)$ (see [14, Lemma 23.1]). Therefore, the first equality in (9) follows from the adjunction formula. Besides, $D . D=\underline{v}^{\mathrm{t}} \Lambda \underline{v}$ and, for each $\gamma, E_{\gamma} \cdot K_{S_{\varphi}}=-2-\left(E_{\gamma} \cdot E_{\gamma}\right)$. Writing $D$ in terms of the $E_{\gamma}$ 's by the change of basis given by $M$, we reach equality (9).
3.8. Remark. When $(S, P)$ is a germ of nonsingular surface, $A=-\mathrm{Id}$ and $E_{i} \cdot E_{i}=$ $-1-\sum_{j \rightarrow i} 1$, that is, $2+\left(E_{i} . E_{i}\right)$ is the sum of the elements of the $i$ th row of $M$.

Therefore, if $K=\left(\mathscr{C},\left\{v_{i}\right\}_{i}\right)$ is the cluster with support in $\mathscr{C}$ associated to $I$, then $\ell(R / I)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i}\left(v_{i}^{2}+v_{i}\right)$. In fact, this is the formula given by Hoskin and Deligne in [10, Theorem 5.1; 6, Theorem 2.13].
3.9. Corollary. Let $(S, P)$ be a rational double point and $\mathscr{C}_{m}$ a minimal constellation for $(S, P)$. Let I be an m-primary complete ideal of $R$ such that $I \mathcal{O}_{S_{\ell_{n}}}$ is invertible and let $K=\left(\mathscr{C}_{m}, \underline{v}\right)$ be the cluster with support in $\mathscr{C}_{m}$ associated to $I$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell(R / I)=-\frac{1}{2} \underline{v}^{\mathrm{t}} \Lambda \underline{v} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda$ is an intersection matrix defined by $\mathscr{C}_{m}$.

Proof. In the above conditions, $E_{\gamma} \cdot E_{\gamma}=-2$ for all $\gamma$ (see [1]). Applying this to the right-side member of (9) we obtain equality (10).
3.10. Theorem. Let I be an m-primary complete ideal of $R$. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a constellation with origin at $P$ such that $I \mathcal{O}_{S_{8}}$ is invertible, $Z$ the fundamental cycle for the morphism $\pi_{6}$ and $D=D_{K}$ the divisor on $S_{\mathscr{8}}$ associated to $I$. Then, the minimal number of generators $\mu(I)$ of $I$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(I)=\ell(I / \mathrm{m} I)=-D . Z+1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $K=(\mathscr{C}, \underline{v})$ is the Cartier cluster with support in $\mathscr{C}$ associated to $I$ and $Z=\sum_{k=1}^{s_{1}} \rho_{1 k} E_{1 k}^{*}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(I)=1-\sum_{1 \leq k, r \leq s_{1}} v_{1 k} \rho_{1 r}\left(E_{1 k}^{*} \cdot E_{1 r}^{*}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $\mu(I)$ only depends on the orders $\left(v_{11}, \ldots, v_{s_{1}}\right)$ of the origin of $K$. In fact, $\mu(I)=1+\operatorname{mult}_{P}(C)$ where $C$ is the Cartier divisor defined by a generic element of $I$.

Proof. By Nakayama's lemma, given $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ elements of $I$, they are a minimal system of generators of $I$ if and only if its classes $\left\{\bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{r}\right\}$ in $I / \mathrm{nv} I$ are a basis of the $\mathbf{k}$-vector space $I / \mathrm{m} I$ and hence, $\mu(I)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}}(I / \mathfrak{m} I)-\ell(I / \mathrm{m} I)$. Since $D+Z$ is the divisor on $S_{\mathscr{6}}$ associated to the complete ideal $m I$, applying 3.7 we obtain

$$
\mu(I)=\ell(I / \mathrm{m} I)=\ell(R / \mathrm{m} I)-\ell(R / I)=-D . Z-\frac{1}{2} Z .\left(Z+K_{S_{\psi}}\right),
$$

where $K_{S_{\S}}$ is a canonical divisor on $S_{\mathscr{C}}$. Since $p_{a}(Z)=0$, we have $Z .\left(Z+K_{S_{\varepsilon}}\right)=-2$ and (11) is proved. Equality (12) follows from (11) since $D=\sum v_{\gamma} E_{\gamma}^{*}$, and the last assertion is consequence of Lemma 2.9.
3.11. Remark. If $(S, P)$ is a germ of nonsingular surface and $I$ is an $m$-primary complete ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{S, P}$, then $Z=E_{1}^{*}$ and $\mu(I)=v_{1}+1$, where $v_{1}$ is the multiplicity of $I$ at $P$ (see [11, Theorem 2.1]).
3.12. Corollary. Let $(S, P)$ be a rational double point. Let $K=(\mathscr{C}, \underline{v})$ be a Cartier cluster with origin at $P$ and $I_{K}$ the complete ideal defined by $K$. Then, the minimal number of generators of $I_{K}$ is given by

$$
\mu\left(I_{K}\right)= \begin{cases}v_{11}+v_{12}+1 & \text { if }(S, P) \text { is of type } \mathbf{A}_{n}(n \geq 2) \\ 2 v_{1}+1 & \text { if }(S, P) \text { is of type } \mathbf{A}_{1} \\ v_{1}+1 & \text { if }(S, P) \text { is of type } \mathbf{D}_{n}(n \geq 4), \mathbf{E}_{6}, \mathbf{E}_{7} \text { or } \mathbf{E}_{8}\end{cases}
$$

Proof. If ( $S, P$ ) is an $\mathbf{A}_{n}$-singularity ( $n>2$ ), then the exceptional locus of the blowing up with center $P$ has two irreducible components $E_{11}^{1}$ and $E_{12}^{1}$. Besides, for any constellation $\mathscr{C}$, the fundamental cycle for the desingularization $\pi_{\mathscr{C}}$ is $Z=E_{11}^{*}+E_{12}^{*}$ and we have $E_{11}^{*} \cdot E_{11}^{*}=E_{12}^{*} \cdot E_{12}^{*}=-n /(n-1)$ and $E_{11}^{*} \cdot E_{12}^{*}=1 /(n-1)$. Therefore, the orders of $K$ at the origin are a pair $\left(v_{11}, v_{12}\right)$ and $\mu\left(I_{K}\right)=v_{11}+v_{12}+1$ in this case. Analogously, a Cartier cluster $K$ on an $\mathbf{A}_{1}$-singularity has only one order $v_{1}$ at the origin, and the fundamental cycle for $\pi_{\mathscr{G}}$ is $Z=E_{1}^{*}$ where $E_{1}^{*} \cdot E_{1}^{*}=-2$, therefore $\mu\left(I_{K}\right)=2 v_{1}+1$. Finally, if $(S, P)$ is a singularity of type $\mathbf{D}_{n}(n \geq 4), \mathbf{E}_{6}, \mathbf{E}_{7}$ or $\mathbf{E}_{8}$, then the exceptional locus of the blowing up with center $P$ has only one irreducible component and, for any constellation $\mathscr{C}$, the fundamental cycle for $\pi_{\mathscr{C}}$ is $Z=2 E_{1}^{*}$ where $E_{1}^{*} \cdot E_{1}^{*}=-\frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, a Cartier cluster $K$ on $(S, P)$ has one order $\nu_{1}$ at the origin, and $\mu\left(I_{K}\right)=v_{1}+1$.

Now, let $K$ be any cluster with origin at $P$. We will give an algorithm to describe a minimal system of generators of the complete ideal $I_{K}$. First, let us prove a preliminary result which is a generalization of Laufer's procedure to compute the fundamental cycle [12, Proposition 4.1].
3.13. Lemma. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a constellation with origin at $P$ and $D=\sum_{\gamma} b_{\gamma} E_{\gamma} a \mathbb{Q}$ Cartier divisor on $S_{\mathscr{C}}$. Then, among all the exceptional divisors $D^{\prime}$ on $S_{\mathscr{C}}$ such that $D^{\prime} \geq D$ and $D^{\prime} . E_{\gamma} \leq 0$ for all $\gamma$, there is a minimal one $\bar{D}$.

We can compute $\bar{D}$ in the following recurrent way. Let $D_{1}=\sum_{\gamma} b_{\gamma}^{l} E_{\gamma}$ where $b_{\gamma}^{1}$ is the smallest integer such that $b_{\gamma}^{1} \geq b_{\gamma}$. Having defined $D_{t}$, if $D_{t} . E_{\gamma} \leq 0$ for all $\gamma$, then $\bar{D}=D_{t}$. Otherwise, we take $\gamma_{t}$ such that $D_{t} \cdot E_{\gamma_{t}}>0$ and we set $D_{t+1}=D_{t}+E_{\gamma_{t}}$.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{D}$ be the set of divisors $D^{\prime}$ on $S_{\mathscr{C}}$ with exceptional support such that $D^{\prime} \geq D$ and $D^{\prime} . E_{\gamma} \leq 0$ for all $\gamma$. If $Z$ is the fundamental cycle for $\pi_{\mathscr{E}}$ then $r Z \in \mathscr{D}$ for $r \gg 0$ and hence, $\mathscr{D}$ is nonempty. Besides, let $D_{1}^{\prime}=\sum_{\gamma} c_{\gamma}^{1} E_{\gamma}$ and $D_{2}^{\prime}=\sum_{\gamma} c_{\gamma}^{2} E_{\gamma}$ belong to $\mathscr{D}$, and let $D^{\prime}=\sum_{\gamma} c_{\gamma} E_{\gamma}$ where $c_{\gamma}=\inf \left\{c_{\gamma}^{1}, c_{\gamma}^{2}\right\}$. Fixed $\gamma$, let us suppose $c_{\gamma}^{1} \leq c_{\gamma}^{2}$, then $D^{\prime} \cdot E_{\gamma}=c_{\gamma}^{1}\left(E_{\gamma} \cdot E_{\gamma}\right)+\sum_{\alpha \neq \gamma} c_{\alpha}\left(E_{\alpha} \cdot E_{\gamma}\right) \leq D_{1}^{\prime} \cdot E_{\gamma} \leq 0$ and, since $D^{\prime} \geq D$, it follows that $D^{\prime}$ belongs to $\mathscr{D}$. Therefore, there exists a minimal element $\bar{D}$. To prove the second assertion, it is enough to show that the divisors $D_{t}$ defined recurrently from $D$ satisfy $D_{t} \leq \bar{D}$. It is clear that $D_{1} \leq \bar{D}$. Suppose that $D_{t}<\bar{D}$, then $D_{t+1}=D_{t}+E_{\gamma,}$ where $\left(\bar{D}-D_{t}\right) \cdot E_{\gamma_{t}}<0$. Thus, the effective divisor $\bar{D}-D_{t}$ contains $E_{\gamma_{t}}$, i.e. $D_{t+1} \leq \bar{D}$, and the lemma is proved.
3.14. Let $K=(\mathscr{C}, \underline{v})$ be a cluster with origin at $P$. Let us apply the preceding procedure to the $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor $D_{K}$. The divisor $\bar{D}$ so obtained satisfies $\bar{D} . E_{\gamma} \leq 0$ for all $\gamma$ and hence, the argument in the proof of 3.5 insures that, in the expression $\bar{D}=$ $\sum_{\gamma} v_{\gamma}^{0} E_{\gamma}^{*}$ of $\bar{D}$ in terms of the $E_{\gamma}^{*}$ 's, the rational numbers $\left\{v_{\gamma}^{0}\right\}_{\gamma}$ are nonnegative. Thus, it follows from the definition of $\bar{D}$ that the cluster $K_{0}=\left(\mathscr{C}, \underline{v}^{0}\right)$ is the unique Cartier cluster with support in $\mathscr{C}$ such that $I_{K_{0}}=I_{K}$. We call it the Cartier cluster induced by $K$.

Let $K^{\prime}$ be the cluster associated to the complete ideal $m I_{K}$, that is, $K^{\prime}$ is the cluster with support in $\mathscr{C}$ whose virtual orders at the points of $\mathscr{C}$ different from $P$ are the virtual orders $\left\{v_{\gamma}^{0}\right\}$ of $K_{0}$ and whose orders at $P$ are $\left\{v_{1 k}^{0}+\rho_{1 k}\right\}_{k=1}^{s_{1}}$. Let us construct a sequence of Cartier clusters $\left\{K_{t}\right\}_{t=0}^{r}$, where $r$ is the minimal number of generators of $I_{K}$, such that $K_{r}=K^{\prime}$. We define inductively $K_{t+1}$ from $K_{t}$ in the following way: "Let $K_{t}=\left(\mathscr{C}_{t}, \underline{v}^{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ and $K^{\prime}=\left(\mathscr{C}_{t}, \underline{v}^{\prime}\right)$ where $\mathscr{C}_{t}$ is a constellation which is a common support of $K_{t}$ and $K^{\prime}$. We fix an enumeration of the set of indices $\Delta_{\mathscr{C}_{t}}$ and we choose $E_{\alpha}$ exceptional on $S_{\mathscr{C}_{t}}$ such that $v_{\alpha}^{\mathrm{t}}<v_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ and $v_{\beta}^{\mathrm{t}}=v_{\beta}^{\prime}$ for all $\beta<\alpha$ (since $I_{K^{\prime}} \subset I_{K_{i}}$ there exists such an $E_{\alpha}$ ). We take a point $Q_{t} \in E_{\alpha}$ such that $Q_{t} \notin E_{\beta}$ for $\beta \neq \alpha$ and consider
 by $K_{t+1}^{\prime}$, which is obtained applying Lemma 3.13."
3.15. Theorem. The preceding algorithm constructs a sequence $\left\{K_{t}\right\}_{t=0}^{r}$ of Cartier clusters such that $I_{K_{0}}=I_{K}, K_{r}$ is the cluster associated to the complete ideal $\mathfrak{m} I_{K}$ and, for each $t, I_{K_{t+1}} \subset I_{K_{t}}$ and $\ell\left(I_{K_{t}} / I_{K_{t+1}}\right)=1$. If, for each $t$, we take $h_{t} \in I_{K_{t}} \backslash I_{K_{t+1}}$, then $\left\{h_{t}\right\}_{t=0}^{r-1}$ is a minimal system of generators of the ideal $I_{K}$.

Proof. It is clear that the algorithm finishes after a finite number of steps. Besides, by the definition of $K_{t+1}$ we have $I_{K_{t+1}}=I_{K_{t+1}^{\prime}} \subset I_{K_{t}}$. Moreover, $I_{K_{t}} / I_{K_{t+1}}$ is isomorphic to
 is the minimal number of generators of $I_{K}$ and the theorem is proved.
3.16. Remark. Since $\ell\left(I_{K_{t} /} / I_{K_{t+1}}\right)=1$ and both $K_{t}$ and $K_{t+1}$ are Cartier clusters, we can take the element $h_{t}$ in $I_{K_{t}} \backslash I_{K_{t+1}}$ in such a way that the Cartier divisor $C_{h_{t}}$ defined by $h_{t}$ goes through $K_{t}$ with effective orders equal to the virtual ones and such that the constellation $\mathscr{C}_{t}$ support of $K_{t}$ gives rise to an embedded desingularization of $C_{h_{t}}$ in $(S, P)$. Therefore, the algorithm to compute the sequence $\left\{K_{t}\right\}_{t=0}^{r}$ determines the equisingularity classes of the curves $\left\{C_{h_{t}}\right\}_{t}$.
3.17. Example. Let $(S, P)$ be a rational double point of type $\mathbf{A}_{3}$ defined by $x y+z^{4}=0$ in a neighbourhood of the point $P=(0,0,0)$ in $\mathbf{k}^{3}$. Let $\mathscr{C}_{m}=\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}\right\}$ be the minimal constellation for $(S, P)$ (see 2.5 ) and $K$ the cluster with support in $\mathscr{C}_{m}$ whose orders are $\left(1, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ at $P_{1}$ and $\frac{5}{4}$ at $P_{2}$. We have $D_{K}=E_{11}+\frac{1}{2} E_{12}+2 E_{2}$ and hence, $K$ is not a Cartier cluster. We apply Lemma 3.13 to $D_{K}$ and we obtain the Cartier cluster $K_{0}=\left(\mathscr{C}_{m},\{(1,1), 1\}\right)$ induced by $K$. Thus, Corollary 3.12 insures that the minimal number of generators of the complete ideal $I_{K}=I_{K_{0}}$ is $r=3$.

The Cartier cluster $K^{\prime}=K_{3}$ is obtained from $K_{0}$ by adding the orders $(1,1)$ at the origin, i.e. $K_{3}=\left(\mathscr{C}_{m},\{(2,2), 1\}\right)$. To construct $K_{1}$, we observe that $v_{11}^{0}=1<2=v_{11}^{\prime}$. We consider a closed point $Q_{0} \in E_{11}$ such that $Q_{0} \notin E_{12}, E_{2}$, and the cluster $K_{1}^{\prime}$ with support in $\mathscr{C}_{m} \cup\left\{Q_{0}\right\}$ whose weights are $\{(1,1), 1,1\}$. After applying Lemma 3.13, we obtain the Cartier cluster $K_{1}$ induced by $K_{1}^{\prime}$. In fact, $K_{1}=\left(\mathscr{C}_{m},\left\{(2,1), \frac{1}{2}\right\}\right)$ and the element $h_{0}$ of $R$ defined by $y$ belongs to $I_{K_{0}} \backslash I_{K_{1}}$. Analogously, to compute $K_{2}$ we observe that $v_{12}^{1}=1<2=v_{12}^{\prime}$ and, applying the same method, we obtain the Cartier cluster $K_{2}=\left(\mathscr{C}_{m},\{(2,2), 0\}\right)$. The class $h_{1}$ of $x$ in $R$ belongs to $I_{K_{1}} \backslash I_{K_{2}}$ and the element $h_{2}$ defined by $z^{2}$ belongs to $I_{K_{2}} \backslash I_{K_{3}}$. Therefore, $\left\{y, x, z^{2}\right\}$ define a minimal system of generators of the complete ideal $I_{K}$.
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